93.5% (374/400) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
99.8% (399/400) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 1 outliers (phi, psi):

[1] 2 PHE (-68.1, -82.8)
80.0% (16/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 1 outliers (phi, psi):

1. 2 PHE (-68.1, -82.8)
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu
90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

90.0% (18/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
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100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.0% (19/20) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (20/20) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
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