78.6% (220/280) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (280/280) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

85.7% (12/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

92.9% (13/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
92.9% (13/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

85.7% (12/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

85.7% (12/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
78.6% (11/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions. 
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions. 

There were no outliers.
71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

64.3% (9/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

85.7% (12/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2MUH, model 11

71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

85.7% (12/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

57.1% (8/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

78.6% (11/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

78.6% (11/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
92.9% (13/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.

100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2MUH, model 18

71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

71.4% (10/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2MUH, model 20

78.6% (11/14) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (14/14) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu