95.8% (1705/1780) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (1780/1780) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu
95.5% (85/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

97.8% (87/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu  
93.3% (83/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2M8W, model 7

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

94.4% (84/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2M8W, model 9

95.5% (85/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2M8W, model 10

94.4% (84/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2M8W, model 13

93.3% (83/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

97.8% (87/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

93.3% (83/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
94.4% (84/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.5% (85/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
96.6% (86/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
97.8% (87/89) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (89/89) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu