95.8% (575/600) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (600/600) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
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MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

2LXZ, model 2
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100.0% (30/30) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.
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2LXZ, model 3

90.0% (27/30) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (30/30) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
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