96.4% (2159/2240) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
99.9% (2237/2240) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 3 outliers (phi, psi):
[8] 58 PRO (-71.7, -58.6)
[18] 5 GLU (64.0, 141.0)
[19] 5 GLU (55.2, 159.8)
96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu
MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

1XFL, model 2

96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

98.2% (110/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu  
95.5% (107/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

93.8% (105/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

98.2% (110/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

97.3% (109/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
99.1% (111/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 1 outliers (phi, psi):

[8] 58 PRO (-71.7, -58.6)
96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu
95.5% (107/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

1XFL, model 11

96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

95.5% (107/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
98.2% (110/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

98.2% (110/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

MolProbity Ramachandran analysis

1XFL, model 15

94.6% (106/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu

98.2% (110/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
94.6% (106/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.
95.5% (107/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
99.1% (111/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 1 outliers (phi, psi):
[18] 5 GLU (64.0, 141.0)
95.5% (107/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
99.1% (111/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were 1 outliers (phi, psi):
[19] 5 GLU (55.2, 159.8)
96.4% (108/112) of all residues were in favored (98%) regions.
100.0% (112/112) of all residues were in allowed (>99.8%) regions.

There were no outliers.

http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu